

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,098	03/09/2001	Wolfgang Klauck	H3380 PCT/US	6195	
75	90 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			CHORBAJI, MONZER R		
ONE LIBERTY PHILADELPHI	PLACE 46TH FLOOR 1A. PA 19103		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
THEREDELIN	17100		1744		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/701,098	KLAUCK, WOLFGA	NG		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
*	MONZER R CHORBAJI	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-19,25-33 and 36-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 6 of the Remarks section, applicant argues, "the Applicant still submits that 1% by weight of solvent is significantly more than "virtually no" solvent". The examiner disagrees The word "virtually" is considered as "nearly or almost" such that "almost" means very close or approximately. So, amended claims 9 and 25 are considered to contain small amounts of a water-soluble solvent, such as a 1% of ethylend glycol (col.2, lines 32-52). The feature "virtually" is considered as "almost" such that "almost" represents a small amount of the water-soluble solvent. In addition, on page 5, line 10-11, the specification teaches "small amounts" of solvents may be added to the composition such that the word "small" is equivalent to "virtually no" or is equivalent to "nearly or almost"

On page 7 of the Remarks section, applicant argues, "Thus, apart from the teachings of the Applicant's specification, one would not be lead to combine the references". The examiner disagrees. The ('821)reference, the ('222) reference and the ('110) reference are all in the art of air treatment such that the combinations were based on the missing features in the claims and not on the water content limitation. Water does not play an action to thos combinations since the water content is found in the primary ('264) reference. For example, the (821) reference was combined for the specific use of olic acid and not for water content. Thus, one skilled in the art would look for these missing limitations in air treatment art like the secondary references combined in the final office action dated 07/28/2004.

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Robert 7. Warden &

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700